

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/696,139	10/25/2000	Robert A. Sanderson	09850-005005	2871		
26171	7590 07/19/2002					
FISH & RIC	HARDSON P.C.		EXAM	EXAMINER		
1425 K STRE 11TH FLOOR			KAMEN,	NOAH P		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3747			
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{N}			
	Application No	· ·	Applicant(s)				
	09/696,139		SANDERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Noah Kamen		3747	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	<u>'une 2002</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-	·final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-93</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from conside	eration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>69-71</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 45-47, 49-51, 54-61, 66, 68 and 72-93	3 is/are rejected	I.					
7) Claim(s) 48,52,53,62-65 and 67 is/are objected	d to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requir	ement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		05 H C C C 440/a) (d) == (5)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 12 	4) [5) [7. 6) [r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/696,139

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 46, 72, 73, and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 46, 73, and 76 are redundant. In regard to claim 72, the sliding should be perpendicular to the common axis, not the drive arm axis (see claim 52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 45-47, 49-51, 54-61, 66, 68, and 77-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meylaers in view of Lind. Meylaers shows all of the recited elements except for the recited joint. Instead, an additional joint at 13 in the piston is required. Lind shows the recited joint wherein all the degrees of freedom are provided by a single joint at 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the joint of Lind in Meylaers for its simplicity of construction. In regard to claim 55, the sliding member read on the well-known treatment of nitriding surfaces to reduce wear. In regard to claim 58, to replace a piston with a mere guide rod where additional power is not necessary would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. In regard to claim 59, the limitation of "permitted" does not require that the drive arm is rotatable.

Claims 72-76 and 85-93 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meylaers in view of Almen. Meylaers shows all of the recited elements except for the recited joint. Instead, an additional joint at 13 in the piston is required. Almen shows the recited joint

Application/Control Number: 09/696,139

Art Unit: 3747

wherein all the degrees of freedom are provided by a single joint at 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the joint of Lind in Meylaers for its simplicity of construction. In regard to claim 93, to replace a piston with a mere guide rod where additional power is not necessary would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 69-71 are allowed.

Claims 48, 52, 53, 62-65, and 67 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims rejected by Kitaguchi have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,139

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah Kamen whose telephone number is 703 308 1945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 308 2696. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9302 for regular communications and 703 308 7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308 0861.

Noah Kamen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

nk July 16, 2002